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Introduction

There are almost 35 million adverse event reports (AER) in VigiBase, the world’s largest global database of AERs. These reports come from many sources, and sometimes duplicate reports are submitted for the same event. This negatively impacts both statistical and manual signal detection. Duplicates are often non-identical, making them difficult to recognise automatically. Here we present a novel machine learning-based tool for identifying duplicate COVID-19 vaccine AERs.

Method

To assess whether a pair of reports are likely to be duplicates, we look at the similarity in, for example, age or the reported adverse events and pass them through a machine learning model trained on confirmed duplicate pairs. We also use state-of-the-art language models to measure the similarity between the two narratives. This process is summarised in the pipeline below.

Results

For an evaluation data set of over 500,000 report pairs, our method found 73% of 1,070 true duplicate pairs. It also did not falsely identify any non-duplicates as being duplicates. The complete results are shown in the confusion matrix to the left.

Since our labelled data was subject to some bias, for an additional evaluation, we took all 11,756 COVID-19 vaccine AERs in VigiBase relating to hearing disorders. We applied our model to them and found 1,328 suspected duplicates and had 3 reviewers agree or disagree with the predictions for a subset of these pairs. The reviewers identified 87% of pairs predicted as duplicates as likely to be true duplicates.